

STATUTE OF LIMITATION OF CORRUPTION

2023
2022

2021

2020

2019

2018

2017

2016

2015

2014

2013

Author: Kosovo Law Institute (KLI)

Contributors: Nuraje Bllaca

Editor: Gzim Shala

No part of this material may be printed, copied, reproduced in any form, electronic or printed, or in any other form of reproduction without the permission of the Kosovo Law Institute.

The content of this publication is the exclusive responsibility of the Kosovo Law Institute - KLI and it does not reflect the views of the Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) - the US State Department and NED

ABOUT KLI

KLI, Kosovo Law Institute, is a non-governmental and non-profit organization of public policy, a think tank specialized in the justice sector.

KLI

Rr. Ilaz Agushi
Pristina
E: info@kli-ks.org
www.kli-ks.org

Supported by:

December, 2022
Pristina, Republic of Kosovo



**National Endowment
for Democracy**
Supporting freedom around the world

Table of content

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Executive summary | 4 |
| 2. Institute of statute of limitations | 5 |
| 3. The phenomena with statute of limitation in Kosovo..... | 6 |
| 4. Grounds for statute of limitations | 6 |
| 5. Statute of limitations in 2022..... | 8 |
| 6. Statute of limitation of corruption remains a problem | 8 |
| 7. Corruption cases that reached statute of limitations in 2022..... | 10 |
| 8. High profile corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitations..... | 11 |
| 9. Corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitation | 12 |

1. Executive summary

One of the main concerns that has accompanied the Kosovo justice system for several years is the statute of limitation. The large number of cases reaching the statute of limitation over the years has been a problematic issue in all areas of criminal justice in Kosovo.

Despite the fact that corruption cases, especially those targeted for visa liberalization, should be treated with absolute priority, this has not happened in practice. For this reason, in certain cases, even these cases have reached the statute of limitation. The reasons for not proceeding with court cases within the deadlines set by law, in principle, are objective and subjective. With regards to objective reasons, the large number of cases, the lack of judges, the lack of administrative staff and others are some of the reasons that affect the delays in handling corruption cases, a delay that goes as far as reaching statute of limitation of such cases. Yet, based on the fact that corruption cases are considered cases that should be treated with priority, this should not be considered a reason for delaying these cases.

The findings of the Kosovo Law Institute (KLI) speak of significant inefficiency in the handling of corruption cases, as a result of which a significant number of corruption cases, during 2022, have reached the statute of limitations. Only during 2022, the cases against 28 defendants accused of corruption reached statute of limitations. Just during 2022, cases against 28 defendants accused of corruption reached statute of limitations. These 28 people participate in a total of 16 cases. The damage, according to the Prosecutor's Office, in these cases starts from 5 euros to 5.4 million euros. If the damage claimed by the Prosecutor's Office in these cases is calculated, it turns out that only within the year 2022 corruption cases have reached statute of limitation, in which the damage exceeds the value of 6 million euros. In fact, this value is calculated for only 11 cases of corruption, since in five other cases, the Prosecutors; Office has not determined the damage in the indictment.

The problem with statute of limitation of corruption seems to be evident for the coming years. 10 accused in high-profile corruption cases risk being left without a meritorious decision, due to the fact that their cases will reach statute of limitations in the next three years, 7 of them during 2023. The damage claimed by the State Prosecutor in these cases is over 59 million euros. There are over 101 others accused of criminal offenses of abuse of official position, cases that will reach statute of limitation within the next three years. Based on the practices of how it has been done so far, the risk of statute of limitation of all these cases is existential.

For such reasons, judges should treat corruption cases with priority, in such a way that these cases are handled efficiently and do not allow the statute of limitations to be reached in any corruption cases. In this field, KJC supervision is essential.

2. Institute of statute of limitations

Statute of limitations consists in reaching a certain legal deadline, after which deadline, institutions lose the right to criminal prosecutions or sentencing. In cases where the statute of limitations is reached, criminal prosecutions cannot be initiated, while in cases where investigations are underway, criminal proceedings must be discontinued. For the statute of limitations of criminal prosecution, the institutions of the justice system ex-officio keep an eye on this. Therefore, regardless of the existence of the defendant's request, in each case when the statute of limitations for the criminal prosecution has reached, the institutions should not start the criminal prosecution, respectively they should stop the criminal prosecution¹.

The Criminal Code has determined the statute of limitations, depending on the penalty determined by law. This Code recognizes two types of limitation periods: absolute and relative. By relative statute of limitation, we mean the delay of the institutions of the justice system to undertake certain procedural actions, for which reasons criminal prosecution also reached statute of limitation. While, by absolute statute of limitation, we mean the time elapsed from the commission of the criminal offense to the moment when the criminal offense reaches statute of limitation. In the second case, the actions of the justice institutions are not relevant in relation to the limitation period. The absolute limitation period is longer than the relative one. The Criminal Code of the Republic of Kosovo has determined the relative limitation periods, while the absolute limitation period is twice the relative limitation period².

Given the fact that reaching the limitation period means the impossibility of the institutions of the justice system to develop the criminal procedure, it follows that in each case when this deadline is reached, the criminal cases remain without meritorious judgment. Thus, the statute of limitations amnesties the perpetrators of criminal offenses. However, this deadline also damages the unjustly accused persons, whose innocence the court cannot decide on the merits. For these reasons, the institutions of the justice system are required to act efficiently in order to reduce the number of cases reaching statute of limitations.

The statute of limitations of the criminal offense is also seen as the right of the accused person, so that the same person, due to the inefficiency of the institutions of the justice system, will not be under investigation forever³. For this reason, as has been said, the legal solution of the Criminal Code No. 06/L-074 of the Republic of Kosovo for the extreme extension of the limitation periods is not an adequate solution⁴.

¹ Salihu I. Zhitija H. and Hasani F. "Commentary on the Criminal Code of the Republic of Kosovo". Edition 1. Pristina.. March 2014. Pages 329-330. (available at: <http://jus.igjk.rks-gov.net/485/1/Komentari%20-%20Kodi%20Penal%20i%20Kosoves.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

² Criminal Code No 06/L-074 of the Republic of Kosovo, Articles 99-100.

³ Listokin Y. "Efficient Time Bars: A New Rationale for the Existence of Statutes of Limitations in Criminal Law". Journal of Legal Studies. vol. XXXI. The University of Chicago. 2002. Page 99-100.

⁴ Gashi L. and Shala G. "Corruption on the cusp of reaching statute of limitation". KLI. Pristina. December 2021. Page 5-6. (available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Korrupsioni-ne-prag-te-vjetersimit-Final.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

3. The phenomena with statute of limitation in Kosovo

One of the main concerns that has accompanied the Kosovar justice system for several years is the limitation of cases. The large number of cases reaching the statute of limitation over the years has been a problematic issue in all areas of criminal justice in Kosovo.

Beyond others, the most worrying has been the statute of limitation of corruption cases. The lack of results in the fight against high-profile corruption has been a constant subject of criticism for the justice system. The statute of limitations for corruption cases has in some cases also been considered as amnesty of corruption⁵. The statute of limitation of corruption cases in the face of the fact that these cases should be treated as a priority by the justice system is considered very problematic. One of the documents issued by the Functional Review Process of the Rule of Law Sector underlined that “[m]onitoring found that during 2018, corruption cases in Kosovo courts have been prescribed, even those marked for visa liberalization”⁶. During the years 2015-2019, indictments were filed against 50 people or 4.56% of resolved cases in the Basic Courts⁷. Unfortunately, as will be seen in further in this report, the phenomenon of statute of limitations for corruption cases has been evident even in 2022 and the risk is that it will continue in 2023.

Beyond corruption cases, the problem with statute of limitation has extended to other criminal cases⁸.

4. Grounds for statute of limitations

The main reason for the statute of limitation of corruption cases is the non-proceeding of court cases within the deadlines set by law. In order to guarantee the trial within a reasonable time limit, which trial in principle prevents cases from reaching the statute of limitation, the Criminal Procedure Code has determined the time limits of the investigation and trial⁹. But, for objective and subjective reasons, these deadlines have not been implemented to a large extent, and is the reason to why corruption cases have reached the statute of limitations.

⁵ “Iustitia 2 – Amnesty of corruption through the backlog od cases”. Iustitia. 08 May 2021. (Available at: <https://betimiperdrejtesi.com/iustitia-amnistia-e-korrupsionit-permes-vjetersimit-te-lendeve-2/>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

⁶ Functional review of the rule of law in Kosovo. “Raising professionalism and competence in the judicial and prosecutorial system”. Ministry of Justice. Page 24.

⁷ Gashi L. and Shala G. “Corruption on the cusp of reaching the statute of limitations”. KLI. Pristina. December 2021. Page 5-6. (Available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Korrupsioni-ne-prag-te-vjetersimit-Final.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

⁸ Hashani G. and Thaqi M. “General crime in Kosovo, law VS practice”. KLI. Pristina. November 2019. Page 17. (Available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/Krimet-e-Përgjithshme-ne-Kosove-ligji-vs-praktika-10.11.2019-ME-BALLINE.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).; Pergega S. Osmani F. and Berisha A. “Crimes against sexual integrity in Kosovo”. KLI. Pristina. May 2021. Page 18. (Available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Raporti-i-Integriteti-seksual-1.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).; Kadriu M. and Pergega S. “Serious crime in Kosovo - 2019”. KLI. Pristina. October 2020. Page 26-27. (Available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/IKD-Krimet-e-Renda-2019.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

⁹ Criminal Procedure Code No. 04/L-123 of the Republic of Kosovo, Article 159, Article 242, Article 245 and Article 285.

Despite the fact that corruption cases, especially those targeted for visa liberalization, should be treated with absolute priority, this has not happened in practice. For this reason, in certain cases, even these cases have reached statute of limitations. An illustrative case for this is the "FAN" case. This case started according to the criminal report filed by the Kosovo Police on April 28, 2014, until the indictment was filed on December 23, 2015. Despite the fact that according to the Code of Criminal Procedure, the initial examination must be scheduled within the 30-day period, the initial examination in this case was scheduled after more than a year, but the same was postponed twice in a row, and was only able to be held on July 12, 2017, i.e. after more than a year and a half. After 27 court sessions, seven of which had failed to be held, and about 3 years after the indictment was filed, on February 8, 2019, the verdict was announced. Over a year later, this case was returned for retrial. After the retrial, a total of seven court hearings were scheduled. All these sessions had failed and none had been able to be held. For these reasons, this case has now finally reached the statute of limitations¹⁰.

During 2022, IKD monitored a total of 888 court hearings in corruption cases. 651 of these hearings were held while 237 court hearings were postponed. Thus, along with others, the poor administration of court cases creates delays and this is one of the factors that also affects the reaching of statute of limitations in corruption cases. In this case, judges must find an adequate way to administer court cases, so that hearings do not fail. One of the recommendations in this case would be to hold block sessions, in such a way that for a certain case, sessions are held every day for a certain period of time (for example 1 week). If the judges took all steps to do this in advance, then a significant number of cases would be tried within a short period of time. Also, the quality of the judgment would be higher. It is known that both the judge and the parties find it more difficult to know the details of cases where a long period of time passes from one session to the next, while this does not seem to be so difficult if block sessions are organized for these cases.

Even the frequent return of cases to retrial is one of the reasons that creates significant delays in the handling of corruption cases, and as a result, this factor has a significant impact on reaching the statute of limitations¹¹. The change of the trial panel is one of the other reasons for the delays of court cases. In these cases, according to the Criminal Procedure Code, the trial must start from zero. The reason why it leads to changes in members of the trial panel is related to the inadequate administration of justice.

¹⁰ "Due to the statute of limitations, the indictment for corruption against Naser Osman and others related to the privatization of the company "FAN" is rejected. Betimi për Drejtësi. 23 February 2022. (Available at: <https://betimiperdrejtesi.com/per-shkak-te-parashkrimit-refuzohet-aktakuza-per-korrupsion-ndaj-naser-osmanit-dhe-te-tjereve-lidhur-me-privatizimin-e-ndermarrjes-fan/>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

¹¹ See e.g.: "The Court of Appeals has decided, Shukri Buja's case where he was accused of corruption has reached statute of limitations". Betimi për Drejtësi. 7 May 2021. (Available at: <https://betimiperdrejtesi.com/vendos-apeli-shukri-bujes-i-vjetersohet-lenda-ku-akuzohej-per-korrupsion/>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).; "The appeal rejects the accusation of corruption against Ilir Tolaj, because the statute of limitations reached on January 12 of this year". Betimi për Drejtësi. 2 February 2022. (Shih linkun: <https://betimiperdrejtesi.com/apeli-refuzon-akuzen-per-korrupsion-ndaj-ilir-tolajt-shkak-arritja-e-parashkrimit/>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

On the other hand, the large number of cases, the lack of judges, the lack of administrative staff and others are some of the reasons that affect the delays in the treatment of corruption cases, which delays cause the statute of limitation to be reached for these cases. But, based on the fact that corruption cases are considered cases that should be treated with priority, this should not be considered a reason for delaying these cases. Moreover, during the year 2022, a strategy for prioritization of cases was added to the judiciary, and according to this strategy, cases that risk reaching the statute of limitation must be handled by judges within the shortest possible time frame.¹²

5. Statute of limitations in 2022

During 2022, the cases against 28 defendants accused of corruption reached statute of limitations. These 28 people participate in a total of 16 cases. The damage, according to the Prosecutor's Office, in these cases starts from 5 euros to 5.4 million euros. If the damage claimed by the Prosecutor's Office in these cases is calculated, it turns out that only within the year 2022 corruption cases reached statute of limitations, in which the damage exceeds the value of 6 million euros. In fact, this value is calculated to be only for 11 cases of corruption, since in five other cases, the Prosecutor's Office has not determined the damage in the indictment.

With the exception of three cases, all the indictments filed during 2022 are cases where the time of committing the criminal offense is 2012. For two of the persons accused of corruption, whose cases have been filed, the indictment was filed in 2012, for two persons in 2013, against one person in 2014, while in most cases (for 9 persons) the indictment was filed in 2015. Thus, despite the clear determination of the investigation deadlines on the one hand and the judicial system's commitment to priority treatment of these cases, this system has not managed to issue a meritorious final decision in these cases even for 7 years. For these reasons, in general, the reasons for these cases reaching the statute of limitations seem to be subjective, at least professional, since in principle, the objective reasons should not affect to this level, that within a year a significant number of corruption cases reach statute of limitations, the treatment of which has been defined as a priority.

6. Statute of limitation of corruption remains a problem

As it was known, the unreasonable extension of the limitation period for criminal offenses has not solved the problem of the judiciary with statute of limitation cases. In the previous report KLI report on the statute of limitations for corruption cases, it was underlined that "this legal

¹²**Note:** On May 31, 2022, the KJC approved the Strategic Plan for improving access to justice 2022-2025 regarding the efficiency and prioritization of cases within the judicial system, where according to this plan, respectively in Chapter VI, point 1,1 it is stated that: *"Regarding the cases of the criminal field - which endanger the statute of limitations, judges must take care that they are dealt with within the shortest possible time, taking care that the hearings are held within a certain period of time and are continuous until the case is resolved, not dealing with the cases which endanger the statute of limitations affects the damage to the image of the justice system on the one hand and on the other hand also affects the trust of citizens and parties in the justice system"*.

solution cannot be applied to the cases that the judiciary has had to deal with when the new Criminal Code came into force, but only to new cases. This is because in the cases that the judiciary had before the entry into force of the new Criminal Code (April 2019), the preliminary Criminal Code is applied, as the most favorable law for the defendant.¹³

Thus, as seen, only in 2022, a significant number of cases have reached the statute of limitations. In the preliminary report of this nature, KLI had drawn attention to the risk of the statute of limitation of corruption cases, where it had listed the corruption cases that are at risk of reaching the statute of limitations. Despite this, the lack of efficiency of the justice system in dealing with these cases has caused a considerable number of corruption cases to reach the statute of limitations.

Beyond 2022, the problem of statute of limitations on corruption seems to be evident in the following years as well. 10 accused in high-profile corruption cases risk being left without a meritorious decision, due to the fact that their cases will reach the statute of limitations in the next three years, 7 of them during 2023. The damage claimed by the State Prosecutor in these cases is over 59 million euros. There are over 101 others accused of criminal offenses of abuse of official position, whose cases will reach the statute of limitation within the next three years. Based on the current practice, the risk of these cases reaching the statute of limitations is existential.

For these reasons, judges should really treat corruption as a priority, in such a way that these cases are handled efficiently and do not allow the statute of limitations to be reached in any case of corruption. In this field, the supervision of the KJC is essential.

¹³ Gashi L. and Shala G. “*Corruption on the cusp of reaching the statute of limitations*”. KLI. Pristina. December 2021. Page 6. (Available at: <https://kli-ks.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Korrupsioni-ne-prag-te-vjetersimit-Final.pdf>). (Last accessed on 23 November 2022).

CORRUPTION CASES THAT REACHED STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN 2022



Name of the accused

Time of the committed offense

Drafting of the indictment

Statute of limitation

Damage

| | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Naser Osmani | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | €5,400,000 |
| Bahri Shabani | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | |
| Melita Ymeraga | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | |
| Adrian Kelmendi | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | |
| Shkëlzen Lluka | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | |
| Naim Avdiu | 20.01.2012 | 23.12.2015 | 20.01.2022 | |
| Ilir Tolaj | 12.01.2012 | 04.07.2012 | 12.01.2022 | Not determined |
| Avni Biqkaj | 2004 - 2012 | 27.10.2015 | 2022 | €486,191.84 |
| Astrit Metaj | 2004 - 2012 | 27.10.2015 | 2022 | |
| Kujtim Ajvazi | 2004 - 2012 | 27.10.2015 | 2022 | |
| Shiqeri Spahiu | 10.05.2012 | 09.08.2016 | 10.05.2022 | Not determined |
| Ramush Thaçi | 10.05.2012 | 09.08.2016 | 10.05.2022 | |
| Bajram Shehu | 31.08.2012 | 11.08.2014 | 31.08.2022 | €1,061.50 |
| Abdyl Buja | January 2012 | 31.05.2018 | January 2022 | €3,300.00 |
| Abdyl Buja | February 2012 | 31.05.2018 | February 2022 | €2,000.00 |
| Mentor Ismajli | February 2012 | 31.05.2018 | February 2022 | |
| Izet Dibrani | 01.03.2016 | 06.04.2016 | 01.03.2022 | €650 |
| Milan Denda | 2010-2012 | 24.01.2018 | 2022 | Not determined |
| Milan Denda | 2012 | 25.04.2017 | 2022 | Not determined |
| Natasha Maksimoviq | 16.08.2012 | 27.08.2018 | 16.08.2022 | Not determined |
| Valmir Lleshaj | 16.08.2012 | 27.08.2018 | 16.08.2022 | |
| Ismet Mehmeti | 16.08.2012 | 27.08.2018 | 16.08.2022 | |
| Nehat Zeqa | October 2012 | 30.08.2013 | October 2022 | €47,270.00 |
| Fisnik Sejdiu | October 2012 | 30.08.2013 | October 2022 | |
| Bashkim Krasniqi | year 2012 | 31.12.2019 | year 2022 | €104,674.61 |
| Flurim Gallapeni | 14.01.2012 | 24.09.2012 | 14.01.2022 | €14,319.16 |
| Hasan Selimi | 2016 | 09.09.2021 | 2022 | €800 |
| Ardian Alushaj | July 2016 | 09.09.2016 | July 2022 | €5 |

Corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitation



Name of the accused

Time of the committed offense

Stage of the procedure

Statute of limitation

Damage

| Name of the accused | Time of the committed offense | Stage of the procedure | Statute of limitation | Damage |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Enver Bytyqi | 03.05.2013 | retrial | 03.05.2023 | €31,500.00 |
| Nexhmedin Musliu | 03.05.2013 | retrial | 03.05.2023 | €21,000.00 |
| Bashkim Krasniqi | 03.05.2013 | retrial | 03.05.2023 | €10,500.00 |
| Ruzhdi Daka | 03.05.2013 | retrial | 03.05.2023 | €10,500.00 |
| Abdyl Buja | November 2013 | main trial | November 2023 | €5000 |
| Mentor Ismajli | November 2013 | main trial | November 2023 | €5000 |
| Nezir Klinaku | 10.01.2013 | First instance judgement | 10.01.2023 | not determined |
| Ilmi Zeqiri | 10.01.2013 | First instance judgement | 10.01.2023 | not determined |
| Slavisha Vukojeviq | 13.02.2013 | First instance judgement | 13.02.2023 | €150 |
| Ali Bejta | 01.03.2013 | main trial | 01.03.2023 | not determined |
| Sabri Miftari | 2013 | First instance judgement | 2023 | not determined |
| Lulzim Beqiraj | 23.11.2013 | main trial | 23.11.2023 | €2,799.00 |
| Bujar Nerjovaj | 2011-2013 | retrial | 2011-2013 | €36,143.00 |
| Bujar Nerjovaj | 08.04.2013 | main trial | 08.04.2023 | €104,674.61 |
| Agron Thaqi | 2013 | main trial | 2023 | |
| Naim Avdyli | 2013 | main trial | 2023 | |
| Latif Ibrahimimi | 19.05.2014 | main trial | 19.05.2024 | not determined |
| Sadik Paçarizi | 10.02.2014 | main trial | 10.02.2024 | €104,674.61 |
| Nijazi Kryeziu | 30.05.2014 | main trial | 30.05.2024 | |
| Elez Gashi | 12.06.2014 | First instance judgement | 12.06.2024 | not determined |
| Hasan Ibraj | 12.06.2014 | First instance judgement | 12.06.2024 | not determined |
| Zeqir Gashi | 21.02.2014 | main trial | 21.02.2024 | €53,000.00 |
| Qazim Reqica | 21.02.2014 | main trial | 21.02.2024 | |
| Rexhep Gashi | 21.02.2014 | main trial | 21.02.2024 | |
| Agron Hajrizi | 21.02.2014 | main trial | 21.02.2024 | |
| Dukagjin Emarllahu | January 2014 | main trial | January 2024 | not determined |

Corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitation



| Name of the accused | Time of the committed offense | Stage of the procedure | Statute of limitation | Damage |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Mobere Hyseni | 30.05.2014 | First instance judgement | 30.05.2024 | not determined |
| Emrush Thaqi | 20.05.2014 | First instance judgement | 20.05.2024 | not determined |
| Agron Limani | 20.03.2018 | main trial | 20.03.2024 | €5,000.00 |
| Fehmi Ramaj | 30.05.2014 | First instance judgement | 30.05.2024 | not determined |
| Zenun Elezaj | 20.02.2018 | First instance judgement | 20.02.2024 | not determined |
| Salih Kqiku | 22.10.2014 | First instance judgement | 22.10.2024 | €358.437,91 |
| Hatixhe Përzhella | 27.05.2014 | main trial | 27.05.2024 | not determined |
| Fadil Rexhepi | nëntor 2014 | First instance judgement | November 2024 | not determined |
| Remzi Bislimi | nëntor 2014 | First instance judgement | November 2024 | not determined |
| Zenë Rushiti | Prill 2014 | First instance judgement | April 2024 | not determined |
| Nezir Aliu | 12.06.2014 | First instance judgement | 12.06.2024 | not determined |
| Bekim Gashi | 19.09.2014 | First instance judgement | 19.09.2024 | €12.622.86 |
| Marko Mlladenoviq | 19.09.2014 | First instance judgement | 19.09.2024 | |
| Egzon Hyseni | April 2019 | main trial | April 2025 | €2,500.00 |
| Gani Bajraktari | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Daut Gorani | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Reshat Emra | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Hamza Selmani | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Ismail Avdimetaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Aferdita Selmanaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Salih Shala | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Nehat Rexhepaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Agron Besimi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |

Corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitation



Name of the accused

Time of the
committed offense

Stage of
the procedure

Statute of limitation

Damage

| Name of the accused | Time of the committed offense | Stage of the procedure | Statute of limitation | Damage |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Bajram Mziu | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Petrit Ademaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Agron Leka | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Driton Miftari | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Besim Guda | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Mirdi Strana | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Shemsedin Shabollari | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Fisnik Hima | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Luan Pazhari | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Galina Berisha | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Bajram Preteni | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Lulzim Kamberi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Faik Shatri | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Ejup Pllana | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Florim Sadiku | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Halim Halili | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Agim Krasniqi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Hajdin Çitaku | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Edmond Haliti | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Besnik Koliqi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Afrim Poniku | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Banush Gashi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Nebi Musliu | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Xhevdet Krasniqi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Dardan Koqinaaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Lazer Prekpalaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Rexhep Manaj | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Kelmend Pallaska | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Arlind Batalli | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Masar Gashi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Murat Abazi | 31.12.2015 | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |

Corruption cases at risk of reaching statute of limitation



Name of the accused

Time of the
committed offense

Stage of
the procedure

Statute of limitation

Damage

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Elfedin Muhaxheri | 31.12.2015 | | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Nexhmi Zeqiri | 31.12.2015 | | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Ferid Susuri | 31.12.2015 | | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Ferihane Sefa | 31.12.2015 | | main trial | 31.12.2025 | not determined |
| Selatin Retkoceri | 12.10.2015 | | main trial | 12.10.2025 | €9.995,77 |
| Remzi Llumnica | 12.10.2015 | | main trial | 12.10.2025 | |
| Fidan Pacolli | 06.05.2015 | | main trial | 06.05.2025 | €2,500.00 |
| Sabri Maliqi | 06.05.2015 | | main trial | 06.05.2025 | |
| Almir Saiti | 22.10.2015 | | main trial | 22.10.2025 | not determined |
| Muhamed Bajrami | 22.10.2015 | | main trial | 22.10.2025 | not determined |
| Nehat Basha | 28.08.2021 | | main trial | 28.08.2025 | not determined |
| Nijazi Kryeziu | 31.12.2015 | | retrial | 31.12.2025 | €7200 euro |
| Afrim Matoshi | 2015 | | First instance judgement | 2025 | not determined |
| Muhamet Beqiri | 2015 | | First instance judgement | 2025 | not determined |
| Enver Franca | January 2015 | | First instance judgement | January 2025 | not determined |
| Maxhun Maloku | 2015 | | First instance judgement | 2025 | not determined |
| Salih Kqiku | 14.12.2015 | | main trial | 14.12.2025 | €29.017,54 |
| Valon Shefkiu | 14.12.2015 | | main trial | 14.12.2025 | |
| Izet Kurteshi | 14.12.2015 | | main trial | 14.12.2025 | |
| Ganimete Kastrati | 14.12.2015 | | main trial | 14.12.2025 | |
| Dimitrije Racicevic | 18.11.2015 | | main trial | 18.11.2025 | not determined |